

Discussion of "On Interest-Rate Baring CBDC with
Heterogeneous Banks"
by Rodney Garratt & Haoxiang Zhu

Monika Piazzesi
Stanford, CEPR & NBER

CB&DC Seminar Sept 24, 2021

Summary

- Central bank fixes abundant reserves, reserve rate f
- Large and small bank (risk neutral banker agents)
 - ▶ initially, hold only reserves and issue deposits – no equity
 - ▶ large bank has exogenous share $m_L > \frac{1}{2}$ of reserves = deposits
- Banks have market power in loan market
 - ▶ mass 1 of entrepreneurs: have technology, need to hire workers
 - ▶ assigned to bank, can borrow fixed loan size \$1
 - ▶ iid risky projects, quality of project $q_i \sim Q(q_i)$
 - ▶ project payoffs =
$$\begin{cases} A & \text{with probability } q_i \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - q_i \end{cases}$$
 - ▶ entrepreneur is bad at bargaining:
pays \$1 to worker, pays loan rate $R_i = q_i A - 1$
 - ▶ banks choose how to split assets into reserves and loans,
make loan if $q_i > q^*$ project quality cutoff
- Workers work today for \$1, want to save in deposits
 - ▶ choose between large and small bank

Summary ctd.

- Banks differ in ATM network, branches etc
 - ▶ workers get convenience yield $\delta \sim G(\delta)$ at large bank, no convenience yield at small bank
 - ▶ large bank pays lower deposit rate $r_L < r_S$ than small bank
 - ▶ workers with high enough $\delta > r_S - r_L$ prefer large bank
 - ▶ large bank gets deposit share $\alpha_L = 1 - G(r_S - r_L)$
- Sequential game between large and small bank
 - ▶ $t = 0$, Banks choose their deposit rates r_L, r_S
 - ▶ $t = 1$, Banks make loans with quality cutoffs q_L^*, q_S^*
 - ▶ $t = 2$, Workers choose where to deposit
- In equilibrium, large bank has
 - ▶ lower deposit rate r_L , attracts higher deposit share $\alpha_L = 1 - G(r_S - r_L)$
makes more loans so lower quality cutoff q_L^*

Alternative designs for CBDC

- Very nice feature of the paper: affects bank differently!
- CBDC administered by commercial banks
 - ▶ CBDC with large bank has convenience yield δ
 - ▶ if CBDC pays low rate, nothing happens
 - ▶ if CBDC pays higher rate s , large bank has to match $r_L = s$
 - ▶ large bank gets even more deposits, grows market share
- CBDC comes with its own convenience yield v
 - ▶ v between small bank and large bank, $\delta > v > 0$
 - ▶ deposit rates, market shares, lending standards converge
- Impact on overall lending is ambiguous

What explains differences in deposit rates?

- Motivation for convenience yield $\delta \sim G(\delta)$ in the paper:
 - ▶ large banks have better ATM network, more branches
- Large bank has better technology, produces better product
- In equilibrium, large bank charges more for better product
- Can get differences $r_S - r_L$ in deposit rates without market power
- Good to discuss this in the paper, characterize efficient allocations, important for welfare conclusions

Comments on relationship lending

- Banks start out with exogenous clientele of borrowers
- Special assumption: both banks have same $Q(q_i)$
they are not fishing from the same pond
- In the model, when workers choose their bank ,
they based their decision only on banks' deposit rates r_S, r_L
- If relationship lending was as exclusive as in the model,
bank customers would also compare lending standards q_S^*, q_L^*

Disintermediation or not?

- This paper: CBDC has ambiguous results for overall bank lending
- Often key for overall desirability of CBDC especially when banks are essential for lending like in this paper
 - ▶ Keister and Sanches (2020)
 - ▶ Chiu, Davoodalhosseini, Jiang, Zhu (2020)
 - ▶ Williamson (2021)