Credit lines, bank deposits or CBDC? Competition & efficiency in modern payment systems

> Monika Piazzesi Stanford & NBER

Martin Schneider Stanford & NBER

CB & DC virtual seminar series, April 2021

Message

- Central bank digital currency (CBDC)
 - rapidly growing literature with many proposals
 - this talk: interest-bearing reserve accounts for everyone
- Market for liquidity
 - bank deposits
 - credit lines
- Commercial banks

Deposits and credit card limits at US commercial banks



Message

- Central bank digital currency (CBDC)
 - rapidly growing literature with many proposals
 - this talk: interest-bearing reserve accounts for everyone
- Market for liquidity: bank deposits & credit lines
- Commercial banks
 - add value by providing liquidity
 - complementarity between bank deposits & credit lines
- ⇒ CBDC not complementary to credit lines, beneficial only if much cheaper to produce than deposits
 - Mechanism relies on externality among liquidity providers
 - applies also to stablecoins, money market mutual funds

Literature

- Theoretical studies of CBDC
 - ▶ irrelevance theorems: Faure-Gersbach 2018, Brunnermeier-Niepelt 2019
 - constrains bank lending: Keister-Sanches 2019
 - undercuts market power in deposits: Andolfatto 2018, Chiu-Davoodalhosseini-Jiang Zhu 2020
 - affects bank liquidity management: Niepelt 2021
 - affects financial stability: Fernandez-Villaverde-Sanches-Schilling-Uhlig 2020, Keister-Monnet 2020, Williamson 2020
- Credit lines
 - part of optimal liquidity provision: Holmström-Tirole 1998,...
 - important payment instrument: Sufi 2007, Strahan 2010, Berger-Sedunov 2017
- Complementarity of deposits & loans at individual bank level Kashyap-Rajan-Stein 2002, Gatev-Schuermann-Strahan 2009

Model

- Continuum of households, work & consume goods
 - every period, fraction v_c of households consume, all work
 - ullet iid preference shock $\xi_t \in \{0,1\}$ selects consumers
 - discount factor β , utility from consumption goods and labor

$$\xi_t \log c_t - \theta \frac{N_t^{1+1/\varepsilon}}{1+1/\varepsilon}$$

- aggregate consumption $C_t = E[c_t(\xi_t)]$
- Continuum of competitive firms
 - (i) consumption good producers use capital & labor: $Y_t = K_t^{\alpha} N_t^{1-\alpha}$
 - (ii) capital good producers use consumption goods 1-1
 - fraction v_i selected by iid productivity shocks $\chi_t \in {0,1}$
 - aggregate investment $I_t = E[i_t(\chi_t)]$
- Parameters v_c, v_i describe predictability of liquidity needs in economy

Liquidity constraints

- Time moves in half steps t .5, t, t + .5,
 - non-integer periods: households & firms trade goods (C + I); production occurs; only banks trade assets
 - integer periods: households & firms trade assets; factors paid
 - to transfer funds in/out of non-integer periods, households & firms must use payment instruments
- buyers of goods = households & capital producers
 - need payment instruments before buying
 - unpredictable liquidity needs: only share v gets chance to buy
- sellers = producers of goods
 - need payment instruments after selling
 - predictable liquidity needs: store funds, pay wages & rents later
- banks = providers of payment instruments
 - need payment instruments to meet customer outflows

Payment instruments & financial frictions

- Competitive banks offer 2 payment instruments
 - deposits: hold before trade, spend if needed, keep otherwise
 - credit lines: draw down to receive loan if needed, don't use otherwise
 - prices per unit of liquidity provided
 - arranging credit line avoids holding deposits that may not be needed
- Equity issuance is costless for banks & firms
- Financial frictions in banks & firms
 - 1. bank collateral constraint: debt $\leq \phi$ value of assets
 - payment instruments must be safe
 - 2. asset management services κ per unit of assets at price p
 - delegated asset management is costly
 - production of services requires capital & labor
 - firms favor credit lines over deposits to avoid cost

Equilibrium

- Assets available to households in integer periods
 - payment instruments, capital, bank equity
 - contingent claims on all preference & productivity shocks
 - ightarrow household sector: large family insures members, owns banks & firms
- Symmetric competitive equilibrium
 - prices, allocation + asset positions (many identical firms & banks)
 - maximize utility & shareholder values + market clearing
- Liquidity-centric view of banking
 - independence of savings & liquidity provision
 - banks are good at credit lines, bad at holding capital
 - restrict preference & technology parameters s.t. capital held by banks to back payment instruments < total capital
 - ightarrow size of banking sector reflects demand for liquidity, not savings
 - MM & Ricardian equivalence hold except for payment instruments
 - banks can hold firm & government debt, not just capital

Comparing payment systems

- Characterizing equilibrium
 - allocation = solution to planner problem w/ resource constraint

$$C_t \left(1 + \Omega_t^c\right) + I_t \left(1 + \Omega_t^i\right) = Y_t \left(1 - \Omega_t^y\right)$$

- liquidity costs Ω s depend on details of payment system
- Real effects of payment system
 - more costly payment system = less efficient production technology
 - \star allocation responds as in neoclassical growth model
 - effects may differ by sector
 - \star for example, $\Omega^i > \Omega^c o$ payment system discourages investment
- Now steady-state welfare for different payment systems
 - summarize predictability of liquidity needs by $v_c = v_i := v$
 - equilibrium balance sheets before & after trade + liquidity costs Ω

Banks offer only deposits



Before trade

Banks offer only deposits



Banks offer only deposits

• Resource constraint for equivalent planner problem

$$C_t\left(1+p\frac{\kappa}{\phi}\frac{2-\nu}{\nu}\right)+I_t\left(1+p\frac{2-\nu}{\nu}\left(\frac{\kappa}{\phi}+\kappa^i\right)\right)=Y_t\left(1-p\frac{\kappa}{\phi}\right)$$

- Properties of banking with deposits
 - liquidity costs are high if liquidity needs are unpredictable
 (v small, large precautionary deposit holdings)
 - investment extra costly because firms are not natural savers
 (balance sheet costs κⁱ)
 - all interbank flows wash out; bank liquidity constraints do not bind (payments, reserves & funds market: Piazzesi & Schneider 2019)

Banks offer deposits & credit lines

• credit lines: contingent liabilities are off balance sheet



Before trade

Banks offer deposits & credit lines



Banks offer deposits & credit lines

• Resource constraints with & without credit lines

$$C_t + I_t = Y_t \left(1 - p \frac{\kappa}{\phi} \right)$$
$$C_t \left(1 + p \frac{\kappa}{\phi} \frac{2 - v}{v} + I_t \left(1 + p \frac{2 - v}{v} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\phi} + \kappa^i \right) \right) = Y_t \left(1 - p \frac{\kappa}{\phi} \right)$$

- Welfare gains from credit lines
 - 1. avoid precautionary holdings of deposits = higher TFP
 - 2. avoid firms' balance sheet costs = investment-specific tech progress
 - complementarity of products at banks = higher TFP

due to collateral savings, not liquidity constraint

Entry of deposits-only intermediary

- New intermediary
 - maximal leverage ϕ^* , asset management costs κ^*
 - e.g. CBDC with central bank deposits offered at marginal cost
- CBDC good only if new technology better
 - welfare gains require $\kappa^*/\phi^* < \kappa/\phi$
 - either cheaper asset management or better ability to commit
- CBDC good if technology better & banks offer only deposits
 - all depositors migrate to central bank
 - commercial banks disappear; no value beyond liquidity provision
 - investment increases because liquidity is cheaper
- CBDC good if banks also offer credit lines?

Equilibrium with CBDC, bank deposits & credit lines

- Buyers' and sellers' choice of payment instruments
 - bank deposits & CBDC priced the same ightarrow bank customers indifferent
 - here: all buyers still use credit lines (v small, κ^*/ϕ^* not too small)
 - paper: also case when households stop using credit lines
- Response by commercial banks
 - still issue deposits, match higher interest rate earned on CBDC
 - increase price of credit lines to break even
 - high funding costs, no longer profitable to invest in capital
- Now consider asset positions...

Equilibrium with CBDC, bank deposits & credit lines

Before trade



Equilibrium with CBDC, bank deposits & credit lines

• Comparing resource constraints

CBDC improves welfare if & only if $\frac{\kappa^*}{\phi^*} < \frac{1-\phi}{2}\frac{\kappa}{\phi}$

- if CBDC sufficiently cheap to offset cost of credit line = higher TFP
- if κ^*/ϕ^* only marginally below κ/ϕ , CBDC reduces welfare
- Interpretation
 - competition for deposits distorts price of credit line
 - bank liquidity constraint not essential: the case $\phi=1$
 - applies beyond CBDC to free entry of deposit-only intermediaries
 - externality among liquidity providers who *jointly* support transactions
 - ightarrow hybrid payment systems, like deposits-only systems, incur extra costs

Central bank credit line

- Can CB help keep asset side of banks unchanged?
 - Yes: offer credit line to banks, priced at κ/ϕ
- Choice of payment instruments
 - buyers still use credit line
 - all deposits migrate to CB
- Commercial bank response
 - before trade: no need for holding liquid funds
 - after trade: deposits replaced by loan from central bank
- Comparing resource constraints
 - $\Omega^c = \Omega^i = 0$, same as before CBDC
 - but $\Omega^y = p(\kappa/\phi + \kappa^*/\phi^*)$ is larger
 - sum of balance sheets now longer ightarrow higher cost

Message

- Central bank digital currency (CBDC)
 - rapidly growing literature with many proposals
 - this talk: interest-bearing reserve accounts for everyone
- Market for liquidity: bank deposits & credit lines
- Commercial banks
 - add value by providing liquidity
 - complementarity between bank deposits & credit lines
- ⇒ CBDC not complementary to credit lines, beneficial only if much cheaper to produce than deposits
 - Mechanism relies on externality among liquidity providers
 - applies also to stablecoins, money market mutual funds